

Remarks/Arguments:

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed June 8, 2005.

Claims 1-21 were pending in the Application prior to the outstanding Office Action. In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-21.

The present Response cancels claim 4, amends claims 1-3 and 12, leaving for the Examiner's present consideration claims 1-3 and 5-21. Reconsideration of the rejections is requested.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as being indefinite. Claims 1-3 have been amended to overcome this rejection.

Claims 1, 3-13, 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Collins et al., 6,424,828. Claims 2 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collins et al.

Claims 1 and 12 as amended reads as follows:

1. In a network carrying a web page containing data, a method for dividing the web page into chunks, comprising:
 - determining a chunk size limit;
 - dividing the web page data into chunks having a size no greater than said chunk size limit; and
 - linking said chunks in sequence wherein the linking comprises inserting a link in one of the chunks comprising a link to another of the chunks.

12. In a wireless network carrying content data via the network through at least one gateway, the gateway having a defined gateway limit, a method for transmitting a quantity of content smaller than the gateway limit, comprising:
 - determining where the gateway limit falls in said content data; and
 - parsing the content data into at least a first segment and at least a next segment of a size at or below the gateway limit at break points not falling within a word, universal resource locator, or element boundary, wherein the segments are linked by inserting a link to another segment in a segment.

Claim 1, as amended, states that the linking comprises inserting a link in one of the chunks comprising a link to another of the chunks. Claims 12 states that segments are linked by inserting a link to another segment in a segment. Such a link in the chunks or segments are not shown, suggested or given a motivation for by the Collins reference.

The Examiner states that Collins discloses links in the chunks in column 4 lines 56-59. Collins in column 4 lines 56-59 merely describes a internet gateway providing a "link" between

the SMS and the internet. Such a "link" is not a link in a segment or chunk as claimed. Collins does not disclose including a link in the segment or chunk. Inserting the link into the segment or chunk is not required for the application done in Collins. For this reason, Collins is not believed to make claims 1 and 12 anticipated or obvious.

For the above discussed reasons, claims 1 and 12 are believed to be allowable. Claims 2-3, 5-11 and 13-21 are dependent upon these independent claims and for that reason and because of the additional limitations of these claims, these claims are believed to be allowable.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he [she] can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 6, 2005

By: _____

Joseph P. O'Malley
Reg. No. 36,226

FLIESLER MEYER LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4156
Telephone: (415) 362-3800